
SCI论文投稿系列之如何处理拒稿信

作者：Wordvice霍华斯 来源：科学网博客

本文原地址：<https://www.iikx.com/news/article/3388.html>

本文仅供学习交流之用，版权归原作者所有，请勿用于商业用途！

SCI论文投稿系列之如何处理拒稿信？不幸的是，被拒稿是十之八九的事情，有时候不是论文的错，更糟糕的是即使申诉了，申诉信也不能起到作用，被丢进” slush pile ” 而且只有在重新投稿之后才会被重新审核。

身为一名研究员，请考量是否申诉信是否值得你花时间和重写论文所必须花费的资源，你也可以等个几周让期刊审核你的申诉信。同时，建议谨慎检视期刊给予的评审意见后修改论文并投稿到另一间期刊。

如果你决定要申请要求重新审核论文，以下几点请谨记：

Dos	Dont ' s
Thank the editor and reviewers for the time they spent reviewing your manuscript. Similarly, maintain a respectful and deferential tone throughout your letter. Remember, you want them to like you and your work. Don ' t give	Don ' t get emotional and insult your editors or reviewers. Be respectful and diplomatic in tone since antagonizing editors will not help your case! (See list of useful phrases). Similarly, don ' t respond to a rejection letter

Dos	Dont ' s
them unnecessary reasons for tossing your manuscript into the rejection pile.	right away. Rather, take a break and re-examine the letter with fresh, objective eyes.
If the rejection is not based on faulty experimental procedures, it ' s likely that editors felt your paper would not appeal to its readers. If this is your situation, make sure to carefully and clearly explain how your research would greatly advance current understandings of the subject matter AND be useful to a wide audience.	Don ' t take the rejection personally. Remember that a journal has a publication calendar and strategy. Additionally, your research might overlap with work previously accepted by another author, or the journal might feel it wants to move into a new direction based on reader feedback.
If your manuscript was declined because of major shortcomings (experimental design or incomplete analysis, for example), explain how you would fix these problems.	Don ' t rewrite your manuscript and resubmit as an appeal since your likelihood of successfully appealing is low. If you make substantial changes (like including significant new data), you may you might wish to make a new submission instead.
If you feel any or all of the peer reviewers were biased or made technical errors in their assessment, you will need specific and clear evidence to make your case.	In blind review processes, don ' t try to guess who your reviewers are. Rather, focus on the reviewers ' specific comments and how those remarks clearly imply a biased opinion or a technical misunderstanding of your work.
Focus on the journal ' s comments and address them objectively. And like the rebuttal letter, copy the full text of reviewer comments and include relevant responses under each section of the original text (as shown in the template below).	Don ' t go off on a tangent by emphasizing things like your reputation or other information that have no bearing on the actual substantive merits and suitability of your paper for the journal.

更多 论文写作 请访问 <https://www.iikx.com/news/article/>

本文版权归原作者所有，请勿用于商业用途，[爱科学iikx.com](https://www.iikx.com)转发